## CENTER FOR APPELLATE LITIGATION

120 WALL STREET - 28<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10005 TEL. (212) 577-2523 FAX 577-2535

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE ROBERT S. DEAN

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE MARK W. ZENO

 $Senior \ Supervising \ Attorneys$ 

BARBARA ZOLOT CLAUDIA S. TRUPP

Managing Attorney

DAVID J. KLEM

SUPERVISING ATTORNEYS

MEGAN BYRNE

NICOLE GEOGLIS

Danielle Krumholz

BEN A. SCHATZ
KATE SKOLNICK

KATE SKOLNICK BEN WIENER

MARIANNE C. YANG

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE ROBERT S. DEAN

(212) 577-2523 EXT. 502 rdean@cfal.org

#### **MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Chief Defender & Colleague

From: Bob Dean

Date: November 15, 2022

Subject: Attached

Here is a list of <u>significant</u> criminal cases pending in the New York Court of Appeals and the issues presented. Cases that the Court has selected for SSM consideration are generally not included. Leave grants were to the defendant unless otherwise noted.

The Center does this update every two months for its own attorney staff. As a matter of professional courtesy, we also send it to Chief Defenders who do substantial appellate work. Feel free to distribute copies to any members of your staff. This update is also available on our web site (www.appellate-litigation.org).

Although every attempt has been made to ensure the accuracy of the summaries, no express or implied guarantees are made. If your office is handling one or more of these cases and wishes to share some insight not apparent from the intermediate appellate court decision or the Clerk's summary of issues, please feel free to contact me. Your input will be added to the next edition.

We offer a special feature to our online visitors. Our online "Eye on Eagle" section (NYCA link) includes pdf links to all decided cases, and, for selected cases, a short CAL blog flagging what we think is important or noteworthy about the cases.

Happy reading!

# CRIMINAL CASES CURRENTLY PENDING IN THE NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS

## I. <u>Cases Awaiting Decision</u>

## People v. Luis Jimenez

AD2 order dated December 2, 2020, reversing dismissal of indictment and reinstating it. Decision below: 189 AD3d 882, 136 NYS3d 153. Hinds-Radix (AD dissenter), J., granted leave February 11, 2021. Argued October 19, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether the Appellate Division correctly determined that there was no reasonable view of the evidence warranting an instruction to the grand jury on the defense of justification under PL 35.05(2), where the defendant allegedly struck a dog, that trying to bite him, with a stick. (Assigned counsel: Tina M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## People v. Ronald K. Johnson

AD4 order dated April 30, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 193 AD3d 1429, 147 NYS3d 823. Fahey, J., granted leave August 23, 2021. Argued October 20, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: State Due Process Right to a Speedy Trial: Whether the defendant's due process rights were violated by an 8-year pre-indictment delay, where the ability to defend at trial was not prejudiced by the delay, but the delay deprived the defendant of an opportunity to negotiate a sentence that would have run concurrently with a sentence he was already serving. (Assigned counsel: Jill Paperno, Monroe County Public Defender, Rochester, NY 14614.)

## II. Cases Scheduled for Argument

## People ex rel Molinaro v. Warden

AD2 order dated June 16, 2021, reversing denial of State habeas corpus petition and granting the writ. Decision below: 195 AD3d 885, 150 NYS3d 123. Court of Appeals granted leave to People December 14, 2021. To be argued November 15, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the criminal court judge was allowed to order the criminal defendant confined to jail during a CPL Article 730 competency examination when the defendant was otherwise entitled to pretrial release under CPL Articles 510 and 530. (Assigned counsel for relator: Alexandra Ferlise, Brooklyn Defenders Services, 177 Livingston Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201.)

### People v. Rudolph Kaval

AD2 order dated May 5, 2021, reversing judgment of conviction sentencing the defendant as a persistent violent felony offender. Decision below: 194 AD3d 746, 148 NYS3d 214. Dillon (AD dissenter), J., granted leave to People December 13, 2021. To be argued November 15, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether, where the defendant was originally sentenced as a persistent violent felony offender, and on appeal the Second Department, upon the People's concession that one of the violent predicates fell outside the 10-year tolling period, had held that the defendant was not a persistent violent and remanded for resentencing, the resentencing court was permitted to sentence the defendant, once again, as a persistent violent based upon the People's new evidence as to tolling. (Assigned counsel for defendant: Tina M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## People v. Lance Rodriguez

AD2 order dated May 19, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 194 AD3d 968, 147 NYS3d 678. Fahey, J., granted leave May 19, 2021. To be argued November 16, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether a police investigative stop of a moving bicyclist on a public road is a *De Bour* level 3 seizure requiring reasonable suspicion. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

## People v. Daniel Talluto

AD4 order dated January 28, 2022, affirming SORA risk level determination with designation of defendant as a "sexually violent offender." Decision below: 201 AD3d 1333, 162 NYS3d 231. In Court of Appeals based on two-judge dissent. To be argued November 17, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether defendant was properly designated a "sexually violent offender" (Correction Law 168-a[7][b]) where defendant was convicted of a felony in another jurisdiction "for which [he] is required to register as a sex offender in [that] jurisdiction" (Correction Law 168-a[3][b]) even though he would not qualify as a "sexually violent offender" had he committed the same conduct in New York.

### People v. Anthony Lagano

AT2 order dated July 30, 2021, reversing judgment of conviction for second-degree harassment and dismissing the accusatory instrument. Decision below: 72 Misc3d 138A, 150 NYS3d 495. Fahey, J., granted leave to People November 29, 2021. To be argued November 17, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the defendant's outburst constituted a genuine threat of physical harm.

## People v. Rebecca Ruiz

AD4 order dated August 26, 2021, reversing judgment of conviction and granting a new trial. Decision below: 197 AD3d 915, 153 NYS3d 290. NeMoyer, J. (AD dissenter), granted leave to People September 15, 2021. To be argued November 17, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the trial court erred in refusing to charge the defense of temporary innocent possession of a weapon, where the

defendant did not possess the gun before using it—as the jury found—for self-defense. (Assigned counsel for defendant: David C. Schopp, Legal Aid Bureau of Buffalo, 237 Main Street, Suite 1602, Buffalo, N.Y. 14203.)

## III. Cases Waiting to be Scheduled

## People v. Tramel Cuencas

AD2 order dated December 30, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 192 AD3d 109, 138 NYS3d 584. Chambers, J. (AD decision author), granted leave May 7, 2021. Argued September 14, 2022. Reargument ordered for a future session.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: <u>Payton</u>. (1) Whether officers violated defendant's right to counsel by going to his residence for the sole purpose of making a warrantless arrest, absent exigent circumstances, so as to avoid triggering defendant's right to counsel; and (2) whether the second-floor-apartment resident of a two-family residence tacitly consented to the police entry into the residence so that defendant could be arrested inside his first-floor apartment. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

### People v. Rakeem Douglas

AD1 order dated April 27, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 193 AD3d 622, 142 NYS3d 813. Fahey, J., granted leave August 24, 2021. Argued September 14, 2022. Reargument ordered for a future session.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether the police conducted a valid inventory search of the defendant's car, in that the search was made pursuant to an NYPD procedure that did not sufficiently cabin the officer's discretion. (Assigned counsel: Caprice R. Jenerson, Office of the Appellate Defender, 11 Park Place, New York, NY 10007.)

### People v. Sergio Cerda

AD2 order dated March 24, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 192 AD3d 1041, 141 NYS3d 319. Rivera, J., granted leave July 13, 2021. Argued May 19, 2022. Ordered to be reargued in a later session.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the trial court erred in applying the Rape Shield Law (PL 60.42) to exclude evidence (portions of a lab report) supporting an alternative explanation for the complainant's injuries.

### People v. Christopher J. Weber

AD4 order dated June 17, 2021, affirming SORA risk-level assessment. Decision below: 195 AD3d 1544, 145 NYS3d 895. Court of Appeals granted leave November 18, 2021.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether the Fourth Department should have, in an earlier decision, remanded for an upward departure determination, since the prosecutor had never asked for an upward departure during the original SORA proceeding. (2) Whether an upward departure was properly granted, on remand, for the same reason. (Counsel for defendant: Jill Paperno, Monroe County Public Defender, 10 N. Fitzhugh Street, Rochester, NY 14618.)

### People v. Santino Guerra

AD1 order dated March 23, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 192 AD3d 563, 143 NYS3d 355. Rivera, J., granted leave August 16, 2021.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether <u>People v. Miller</u> (39 NY2d 543) should be overruled, allowing admission of a complainant's prior violent acts for the purposes of proving the complainant was the initial aggressor in support of a justification defense, even if the defendant did not know about the acts. (Assigned counsel: Tina M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

### People v. Dakota W. Baldwin

AD3 order dated September 23, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 197 AD3d 1442, 151 NYS3d 918. Wilson, J., granted leave January 22, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the Third Department erred in requiring a showing of "extraordinary circumstances" or an "abuse of discretion" in order to invoke its interests of justice jurisdiction to reduce a sentence.

### People v. Mamadou Ba

AT1 order dated January 7, 2022, modifying judgment of conviction to correct an illegally high surcharge and otherwise affirming. Decision below: 73 Misc.3d 148(A); 157 NYS3d 661. Rivera, J., granted leave March 24, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the Appellate Term improperly rejected a request to reduce a sentence in the interest of justice because the sentence was not illegally high and was the result of a negotiated plea. Assigned counsel: Tina M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## People v. Michael Myers

AD4 order dated October 8, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 198 AD3d 1373, 155 NYS3d 669. Singas, J., granted leave March 24, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: The notice provisions of CPL 700.70 and 700.50(3)(a): An incarcerated prisoner, Jones—not the defendant—, made an outgoing call to Person A, who then initiates a 3-way call with Person B. Person B is, unbeknownst to either of the other people on the call, the subject of an eavesdropping warrant. Person B then hands the phone to the defendant, who makes an incriminating statement. Law enforcement discovered the existence of the statement due to the eavesdropping warrant. Did Jones' "implied consent" (as a caller from a jail) to the recording of the call obviate the People's obligation to comply with the

notice provisions of CPL 700.70 before introducing the call into evidence at the defendant's trial. Whether that wiretapped call was an "intercepted communication" under CPL 700.50 (3)(a) in light of Jones' implied consent. (Assigned counsel: Philip Rothschild, Hiscock Legal Aid Society, 351 South Warren Street, Syracuse, NY 13202.)

### People v. Nathaniel Boone

AD1 order dated February 3, 2022. Decision below: 202 AD3d 449, 158 NYS3d 579. Court of Appeals granted leave May 24, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the SORA hearing court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to conduct a risk-level hearing where the defendant was no longer scheduled to be released to the community due to the commencement of civil commitment proceedings against him. (Assigned counsel: Nicole Geoglis and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10005.) (On September 13, 2022, the Court granted leave in <u>People</u> v. <u>Albert Cotto</u>, with a similar issue.)

## People v. Yoselyn Ortega

AD1 order dated February 8, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 202 AD3d 489, 162 NYS3d 347. Garcia, J., granted leave June 1, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the introduction of the non-testifying medical examiner's autopsy reports and testimony of an expert witness based upon those reports, violated the defendant's constitutional right to confrontation. (Assigned counsel: Abby Everett and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10005.)

## People v. Jayquaine Seignious

AD1 order dated February 10, 2022, modifying judgment of conviction by dismissing one count and otherwise affirming. Decision below: 202 AD3d 511, 162 NYS3d 358. Singas, J., granted leave to People May 31, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the Appellate Division properly held that the trial court erroneously submitted, at the People's request, second-degree burglary as a lesser-included-offense of second-degree burglary as a sexually motivated felony. The Appellate Division held that, although second-degree burglary is a lesser-included offense, its submission to the jury reflected a change in the People's theory of the case. (Assigned counsel for Respondent: John Vang and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10005.)

### People ex rel Rivera v. Superintendent

AD3 order dated December 16, 2021, reversing Supreme Court's grant of a State habeas petition that ordered a State prisoner released on parole. Decision below: 200 AD3d 1370, 160 NYS3d 411. Court of Appeals granted leave May 24, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the application of SARA's school-grounds residency restriction, enacted after petitioner's conviction, violated the Ex Post Fact Clause. (Assigned counsel: Justine M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

### Matter of Stevens v. NYSDCJS

AD1 order dated May 5, 2022, reversing dismissal of Article 78 proceeding to annul the Familial DNA Search Regulations promulgated by DCJS, granting the petition, and vacating the Regulations. Decision below: 169 NYS3d 1. Case has gone up to the Court of Appeals on a two-judge dissent,

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether petitioners, relatives of persons whose genetic profiles are in the New York State DNA database, have standing to challenge regulations adopted by DCJS governing familial DNA searches.

### People v. Michael Heiserman

AD3 order dated April 21, 2022, reversing judgment of conviction and granting a new trial. Decision below: 204 AD3d 1249, 166 NYS3d 387.

Colangelo (AD dissenter), J., granted leave to People June 7, 2022. (SSM.)

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether the court properly denied the defendant, on trial for assaulting a police sergeant while in custody, a charge on self-defense against the sergeant's excessive use of physical force; whether the sergeant's precipitous use of pepper spray to defendant's face could have constituted excessive physical force. (Assigned counsel for Respondent: Scott Walling, 1972 New Scotland Road #1013, Slingerlands, NY 12159.)

### People v. Thomas J. Perdue

AD4 order dated March 11, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 203 AD3d 1638, 163 NYS3d 737. Rivera, J., granted leave June 17, 2022.

ISSUES PRESENTED: (1) Whether the court properly allowed the People's witness to identify the defendant at trial for the first time, where there had been no pretrial identification procedure. (2) Whether the trial court properly ruled that the defendant "opened the door" to the People's witness's redirect testimony. (Assigned counsel: Mark Funk, Monroe County Conflict Defender, 80 West Main Street, Suite 300, Rochester, N.Y. 14614.)

## People v. Michael Saenger

AD2 order dated February 16, 2022, modifying judgment of conviction by dismissing an inclusory concurrent count and otherwise affirming. Decision below: 202 AD3d 1001, 159 NYS3d 720. Troutman, J., granted leave July 1, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether an indictment for aggravated family offense (PL 240.75) is jurisdictionally defective if it fails to specify any particular penal law offense as the "present" misdemeanor underlying the charge. In this case, the indictment read that the defendant "committed an offense specified in subdivision 2 of 240.75," and failed to specify which of those 50 offenses he "presently" committed. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

#### People v. Donna Jordan

AD2 order dated January 26, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 201 AD3d 946, 160 NYS3d 117. Troutman, J., granted leave July 21, 2022.

ISSUES PRESENTED: (1) Confrontation Clause: What must the People elicit from a testifying criminalist to satisfy their burden of establishing that the criminalist conducted an independent analysis of the raw DNA testing data? (2) Right to present a defense and DA misconduct on summation: After successfully keeping out evidence that the defendant had consistently said prior to trial that a certain third party was the actual perpetrator, the ADA argued on summation that this person was "created" by the defendant and did not exist, and that the defendant tailored her trial testimony about this third person to what she had heard over the course of the trial. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

## People v. Michael Worley

AD2 order dated February 16, 2022, affirming an order designating the defendant as a level three sex offender. Decision below: 202 AD3d 1008, 159 NYS3d 717. Court of Appeals granted leave July 21, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether, or under what circumstances, a SORA hearing court can *sua sponte* upwardly depart without giving notice to the defendant. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

### People v. Marcus Brown

AD2 order dated March 30, 2022, affirming an order designating the defendant as a level one sex offender. Decision below: 203 AD3d 1183, 163 NYS3d 440. Court of Appeals granted leave July 21, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether requiring someone to register as a sex

offender for a robbery devoid of sexual conduct simply because his 10-year-old cousin was incidentally present violates due process. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

## People v. Herman Anthony

AD2 order dated March 23, 2022, affirming an order designating the defendant as a level three sex offender. Decision below: 203 AD3d 1084, 162 NYS3d 787. Court of Appeals granted leave July 21, 2022. (SSM.)

ISSUES PRESENTED: Downward departure: (1) What constitutes the difference between the absence of an aggravating facor and the presence of a mitigating factor? (2) whether the SORA court abused its discretion as a matter of law in denying a downward departure. (Assigned counsel: Justine M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## People v. Pablo Pastrana

AD1 order dated February 10, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 205 AD3d 461, 168 NYS3d 53. Rivera, J., granted leave July 27, 2022.

ISSUES PRESENTED: (1) Whether the Marijuana Regulation and Taxation Act's amendment to the Penal Law, adding Penal Law § 222.05(3) prohibiting a criminal court from resting a finding of reasonable cause "solely on evidence of . . . the odor of cannabis" applies to criminal prosecutions that are on direct appeal. (2) Whether Penal Law §265.03(3)—which criminalizes the possession of a firearm in a public place, a right that New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. Bruen found protected by the Second Amendment—is unconstitutional. (3) Whether the People can meet their burden of showing that a vehicle checkpoint was for a lawful purpose and conducted pursuant to constitutionally mandated guidelines, with the testimony of a single officer who stated that the checkpoint had been authorized for vehicle safety, but did not know who had authorized the checkpoint, how the checkpoint had been

authorized, or why the location or timing had been chosen. (Assigned counsel: Mark Zeno and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28th Floor, NYC 10005.)

## People v. Anthony Debellis

AD1 order dated May 19, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction.. Decision below: 205 AD3d 555, 166 NYS3d 535. Rivera, J., granted leave August 8, 2022.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether trial defense counsel was ineffective for conceding guilt of weapons possession while pursuing a baseless defense of temporary innocent possession of a weapon and failing to pursue the only applicable defense of voluntary surrender.. (2) Whether counsel was conflicted at sentencing due to his taking a position adverse to his client's pro se CPL 330.30 motion alleging ineffective assistance of counsel. (Assigned counsel: Matt Bova and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28th Floor, NYC 10005.)

### People v. Yermia Solomon AKA Jeremy Solomon

AD3 order dated March 31, 2022, reversing judgment and dismissing the SCI. Decision below: 203 AD3d 1468, 166 NYS3d 282. Rivera, J., granted leave to People July 21, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether an SCI is jurisdictionally defective when it misstates the victim's date of birth and the age of the victim is an element of the charged offense.

## People v. Harvey Weinstein

AD1 order dated June 2, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 207 AD3d 33, 170 NYS3d 33. DiFiore, Ch.J., granted leave August 19, 2022.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether *Molineux* evidence (numerous witnesses and more than seven instances of uncharged sexual misconduct) was admitted for any material non-propensity purposes

and whether the *Sandoval* ruling (allowing in 28 prior acts of brutish behavior and aggressiveness) deprived the defendant of his right to testify on his own behalf. (2) Whether the tolling provisions of CPL 30.10 are applicable to defendant, a New York resident who, during intermittent travel, was neither subject to arrest nor even under investigation and whose whereabouts were known at all times. (Counsel: Barry Kamins, Aidala, Bertuna, and Kamins, 546 Fifth Avenue - 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, NY, NY 10036.

### People v. Noe Zapata

AD2 order dated May 4, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 205 AD3d 740, 165 NYS3d 737. Wilson, J., granted leave July 29, 2022.

ISSUES PRESENTED: (1) Whether CPL 420.35(2-a), which allows courts to waive fees and surcharges for defendants who were less than 21 years old at the time of the offense, applies to cases pending on direct appeal at the time of the statute's enactment. (2) Whether a fee/surcharge waiver issue could be considered "unpreserved" when the right to seek such a waiver did not exist until well after the date of sentencing. (Assigned counsel: Patricia Pazner, Appellate Advocates, 111 John St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10038.)

## People v. Ramon Cabrera

AD1 order dated June 14, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction.. Decision below: 206 AD3d 479, 168 NYS3d 302. Rivera, J., granted leave September 8, 2022.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether, upon seizing the defendant and handcuffing him purportedly for their safety, the police were required to administer *Miranda* warnings before asking whether he had firearms in his car. <u>Bruen issues</u>: (2) Whether, in light of *Bruen*, the police lacked reasonable suspicion to seize the defendant and arrest him because he had only an out-of-State license for the guns in his trunk. (3) Whether the defendant's conviction must be reversed and dismissed as unconstitutional in light of *Bruen*. (4) Whether, in light of *Bruen*'s holding placing in-home possession on

the same constitutional footing as public carry, the sentencing disparities the Penal Law draws between in-home possession and public carry are unconstitutional and render the sentence for possession of a loaded firearm outside home or place of business illegal.. (Assigned counsel: Barbara Zolot and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10005.)

## People v. Oscar Sanders

AD1 order dated May 27, 2021, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 194 AD3d 652, 147 NYS3d 56. Rivera, J., granted leave September 27, 2021.

ISSUES PRESENTED: (1) Whether the trial court erred in ordering the defendant to be handcuffed during the rendition of the verdict and the polling of the jury and, if so, whether the error was harmless. (2) Whether the defendant was properly sentenced as a discretionary persistent felony offender. (3) The refusal to submit third-degree assault as a lesser included offense of second-degree assault. (Assigned counsel: Tina M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## **IV.** New Leave Grants

## People v. Gonzalo Aguilar

AD1 order dated June 28, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction.. Decision below: 206 AD3d 572, 170 NYS3d 548 Rivera, J., granted leave September 15, 2022.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether, where the court has charged justification in a homicide/assault case, and then the deliberating jury requests supplemental instructions on the "definition" of those charges, must the court grant defense counsel's request to include the justification instruction in the supplemental charge? (2) Whether, where the defendant testified to a justification defense, did the court's final jury instructions erroneously state that the defendant was an interested witness as a matter of law? (Assigned

counsel: Jan Hoth and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28th Floor, NYC 10005.)

## People v. Stanley Holmes

AD1 order dated May 1, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction.. Decision below: 205 AD3d 653, 167 NYS3d 392. Singas, J., granted leave September 28, 2022. (SSM).

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether *People v. LaFontaine* precludes a trial court from revisiting whether a timely *pro se* request was unequivocal where the trial court clearly understood it as such at the time. (Assigned counsel: Megan Byrne and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC 10005.)

## People v. Tyquan Johnson

AD4 order dated June 10, 2022, affirming judgment of conviction. Decision below: 206 AD3d 1702, 169 NYS3d 773. Wilson, J., granted leave August 24, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether the arresting officer had the requisite predicate under *DeBour* (40 NY2d 210) at each level of the encounter with the defendant. (Assigned counsel: Paul D. Watkins, 115 North Main Street, Fairport, NY 14450.)

## People v. Dwight Reid

AD1 order dated March 8, 2022, modifying judgment of conviction by making the sentences concurrent, and otherwise affirming. Decision below: 203 AD3d 474, 163 NYS3d 70. DiFiore, Ch.J., granted leave August 8, 2022.

<u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>: (1) Whether defendant was denied his right to a public trial when the trial court closed the courtroom midtrial to all spectators based on alleged intimidating behavior by certain spectators. (2) Whether trial counsel was ineffective for failing to request

replacement of a sworn juror, deferring to his client's decision on whether to keep the juror.

### People v. Albert Cotto

AD1 order dated March 10, 2022, affirming an order designating the defendant as a level 3 sexually violent predicate sex offender. Decision below: 203 AD3d 492, 160 NYS3d 875. Court of Appeals granted leave September 13, 2022.

ISSUE PRESENTED: Whether the SORA hearing court abused its discretion or otherwise erred as a matter of law when it denied defendant's request for an adjournment of the SORA hearing pending the outcome of an evaluation by a case review team under Mental Hygiene Law 10.05(e) as to whether he is a sex offender requiring civil management pursuant to Mental Hygiene Law article 10. (Assigned counsel: Justine M. Luongo, Legal Aid Society Criminal Appeals Bureau, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038.)

## People v. Vadimir Krull

AD1 order dated August 2, 2022, modifying SORA risk level from level 2 to level 1 and otherwise affirming. Decision below: 208 AD3d 163, 172 NYS3d 439. (SSD.)

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether, when determining the risk level under SORA, the hearing court erred in assessing 10 points for refusal to accept responsibility when defendant invoked his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination and was tried and convicted but his appeal was pending. (Assigned counsel: Lexie Mitter and Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, NYC.)

## People ex rel. Rankin v. Brann

AD2 order dated January 11, 2022, sustaining a writ of habeas corpus to the extent of remitting the matter for an evidentiary hearing pursuant tp CPL 530.60(2)(c) before bail could be revoked. Decision below:

201 AD3d 675, 159 NYS3d 501. Court of Appeals granted leave to People September 13, 2022.

<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>: Whether a criminal defendant released on bail and subsequently arrested for a new violent felony while out on bail was entitled to an evidentiary hearing under CPL 530.60(2)(c) before bail could be revoked.